

EXHIBIT A



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 29, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Baker, David v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04375-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Baker
Plaintiffs' First Name	David
Case No.	0:17-cv-04375
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	
Section II - Incomplete Questions	
Section III (Surgery Information)	
Section III - Incomplete Questions	
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	Incomplete
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb.com dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 29, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel Christopher Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Cunningham, Mary v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04512-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Cunningham
Plaintiffs' First Name	Mary
Case No.	0:17-cv-04512
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	2
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	1
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	Incomplete
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	Incomplete
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb.com dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 7, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel Christopher Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Henderson, Stephanie v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04517-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Henderson
Plaintiffs' First Name	Stephanie
Case No.	0:17-cv-04517-JNE-FLN
Section I (Case Information)	Complete
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	01 10
Section III (Surgery Information)	
Section III - Incomplete Questions	01
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	Incomplete
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	Incomplete
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	Incomplete
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	Incomplete
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	Incomplete
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb.com dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 29, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Murphy, Bennie v 3M et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04857-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Murphy
Plaintiffs' First Name	Bennie
Case No.	17-cv-04857-JNE-FLN
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	
Section II - Incomplete Questions	
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	1
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	Incomplete
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	Incomplete
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	Incomplete
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb.com dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 29, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
McDonald, Ethel v 3M et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04877-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel

Plaintiffs' Last Name	McDonald
Plaintiffs' First Name	Ethel
Case No.	17-cv-04877
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	7, 9
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	3
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	Incomplete
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	Incomplete
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb.com dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 7, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Potter, Karen v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04881-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Potter
Plaintiffs' First Name	Karen
Case No.	17-cv-04881
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	2
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	1
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	Incomplete
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb.com dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 17, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Edwards, Renate v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04891-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Edwards _Renate _17-CV-04891_1_Edwards _Renate _17-CV-04891_1"

Edwards _Renate _17-
CV-04891_1_Edwards
_Renate _17-CV-
04891_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Edwards
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Renate
CASE NO. - 17-CV-04891
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Bernstein Liebhard
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - dburke@bernlieb.com, dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 17, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Johnston, Todd v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05270-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Johnston_Todd_017-cv-05270_1_Johnston_Todd_017-cv-05270_1"

Johnston_Todd_017-
cv-
05270_1_Johnston_To
dd_017-cv-05270_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Johnston
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Todd
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-05270
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS- 7
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS- 1
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Bernstein Liebhard
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - dburke@bernlieb.com, dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 17, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Billings, Willard v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05277-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Billings_Willard_17-cv-05277_1_Billings_Willard_17-cv-05277_1"

Billings_Willard_17-
cv-
05277_1_Billings_Willi
ard_17-cv-05277_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Billings
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Willard
CASE NO. - 17-cv-05277
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 02, 05, 07, 08, 09
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Bernstein Liebhard
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - dburke@bernlieb.com, dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

April 16, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

David W. Hodges
Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200
Houston, TX, 77006
mtg.kennedyhodges.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Richey, Priscilla v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05323-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Richey_Priscilla_17-cv-5323_1_Richey_Priscilla_17-cv-5323_1"

Richey_Priscilla_17-
cv-
5323_1_Richey_Priscill
a_17-cv-5323_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Richey
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Priscilla
CASE NO. - 17-cv-5323
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 06, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 1.01 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Yes
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kennedy Hodges
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - mtg@kennedyhodges.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 7, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Taplin, Janice v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05370-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Taplin
Plaintiffs' First Name	Janice
Case No.	0:17-cv-05370
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	03 09 10
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	1
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	Incomplete
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	Bernstein Liebhard LLP



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 7, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Bresnock, Anne v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05371-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Bresnock
Plaintiffs' First Name	Anne
Case No.	0:17-cv-05371
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	02, 06 10
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	01
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	Incomplete
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	Incomplete
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	Incomplete
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 24, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Rolf T. Fiebiger
Johnson Becker, PLLC
444 Cedar St., Suite 1800
St. Paul, MN 55101
rfiebiger@johnsonbecker.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Shepard, Andrew v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05477-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Shepard
Plaintiffs' First Name	Andrew
Case No.	0:17-cv-05477
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	5, 8, 10
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	3
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	Incomplete
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	Incomplete
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	Incomplete
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	Incomplete
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Johnson Becker, PLLC
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	rfiebiger@johnsonbecker.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

May 7, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Swales, Bertha v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:18-cv-00045-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Swales
Plaintiffs' First Name	Bertha
Case No.	18-cv-0045
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	
Section II - Incomplete Questions	
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	01, 03
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	Incomplete
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	Incomplete
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb.com dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

June 4, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Tayjes Matthew Shah
The Miller Firm, LLC
108 Railroad Avenue
Orange, VA 22960
jtravers@millerfirmllc.com;
TShah@MillerFirmLLC.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Shoaf et al v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:18-cv-00167-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS. This is the final deficiency notice for this matter.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Shoaf
Plaintiffs' First Name	Phillip
Case No.	18-cv-00167-JNE-FLN
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	10
Section III (Surgery Information)	
Section III - Incomplete Questions	
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	Incomplete
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	Incomplete
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section V - 4 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 5 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 6 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	Incomplete
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Miller Firm
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	tshah@millerfirmllc.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

June 11, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

David W. Hodges
Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200
Houston, TX, 77006
mtg@kennedyhodges.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Kilmer, David v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:18-cv-00460-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS. This is a final deficiency notice for this matter.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Kilmer
Plaintiffs' First Name	David
Case No.	18-cv-460
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	
Section II - Incomplete Questions	
Section III (Surgery Information)	
Section III - Incomplete Questions	
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section V - 4 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 5 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 6 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	Incomplete
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Kennedy Hodges
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	mtg@kennedyhodges.com

EXHIBIT B

From: [Ted Hartman](#)
To: [Dae Yeol Lee](#); [Ben Hulse](#)
Cc: [bgordon@levinlaw.com](#); [David J. Szerlag \(david@pritzkerlaw.com\)](#); [Daniel C. Burke](#)
Subject: RE: MTD (PTO 14)
Date: Thursday, August 09, 2018 10:07:00 AM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)

Confirmed.

Ted

Ted D. Hartman

Blackwell Burke P.A.

431 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500

Minneapolis, MN 55415

Direct (612) 343-3234

Fax (612) 343-3205

Licensed to practice in Minnesota, Illinois, and Nebraska.

This message and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or are not authorized to receive for the recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you.

From: Dae Yeol Lee <dlee@bernlieb.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 09, 2018 10:04 AM
To: Ted Hartman <thartman@blackwellburke.com>; Ben Hulse <bhulse@blackwellburke.com>
Cc: bgordon@levinlaw.com; David J. Szerlag (david@pritzkerlaw.com) <david@pritzkerlaw.com>; Daniel C. Burke <dburke@bernlieb.com>
Subject: RE: MTD (PTO 14)

Ted:

We will agree to submit an amended PFSs with updated verifications for the following:

1. Billings
2. Edwards
3. Johnston
4. Parker
5. Henderson

Please confirm that you will withdraw the Motion on these.

Thanks,

Dae

From: Ted Hartman [<mailto:thartman@blackwellburke.com>]

Sent: Thursday, August 9, 2018 10:35 AM
To: Dae Yeol Lee; Ben Hulse
Cc: bgordon@levinlaw.com; David J. Szerlag (david@pritzkerlaw.com); Daniel C. Burke
Subject: RE: MTD (PTO 14)

Dae:

In my initial response I indicated the section number and question number next to each of the Plaintiffs. You can refer to the corresponding addenda in our Motion if you need further clarification on why these responses are deficient. My reference to "proper supporting verifications" was just to clarify that the amended answers (that you would be agreeing to provide) will need to be accompanied by newly executed verifications.

Thank you,
Ted

Ted D. Hartman

Blackwell Burke P.A.
431 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500
Minneapolis, MN 55415
Direct (612) 343-3234
Fax (612) 343-3205
Licensed to practice in Minnesota, Illinois, and Nebraska.

This message and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or are not authorized to receive for the recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you.

From: Dae Yeol Lee <dlee@bernlieb.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 09, 2018 9:26 AM
To: Ted Hartman <thartman@blackwellburke.com>; Ben Hulse <bhulse@blackwellburke.com>
Cc: bgordon@levinlaw.com; David J. Szerlag (david@pritzkerlaw.com) <david@pritzkerlaw.com>; Daniel C. Burke <dburke@bernlieb.com>
Subject: RE: MTD (PTO 14)

Hi Ted –

I would appreciate if you could please specify what's deficient in the following amended PFSs:

1. Billings
2. Edwards
3. Johnston
4. Parker
5. Henderson

Also, please clarify what you mean by "with proper supporting verifications" for the above-mentioned Plaintiffs? Along with their amended PFSs in response to your deficiency notices, they

have provided their signed verification forms.

As for the Plaintiffs that you are not agreeing to drop the Motion, please specify if you are disputing certain portion of their amended PFSs or all answers responsive to your most recent deficiency notice.

Thank you,

Dae

From: Ted Hartman [<mailto:thartman@blackwellburke.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, August 8, 2018 5:43 PM
To: Dae Yeol Lee; Ben Hulse
Cc: bgordon@levinlaw.com; David J. Szerlag (david@pritzkerlaw.com); Daniel C. Burke
Subject: RE: MTD (PTO 14)

Good afternoon, Dae.

We have reviewed your PFSs in each of the cases identified. Below is Defendants' position on each:

1. Defendants will withdraw the Motion as to cases where the deficiencies are cured:

Ingram (17-4470)

2. Defendants will withdraw the Motion as to the following cases, but will be sending amended deficiency notices:

Gorbett (17-3252)
Thornton (17-4889)

3. If you confirm in writing that the remaining deficiencies identified in these cases will be cured (with proper supporting verifications), Defendants will withdraw the Motion as to the following cases*:

Billings (17-5277) [Sections III.1, and VI.3]
Edwards (17-4891) [Sections III.3, IV.7, and VI.3]
Johnston (17-52701) [Section III.1]
Parker (17-3573) [Sections II.15, and VI.1]
Henderson (17-4517) [Sections II.10, VI.3, and VII.1]

4. Defendants will not agree to drop the Motion as to the following cases:

Rude (17-4009)
Pine (17-4777)
Brown (17-4778)
Potter (17-4881)

McEvoy (17-4885)
Hardy (17-5261)
Taplin (17-5370)
Bresnock (17-5371)
Swales (18-45)

**Please notify me as to all cases in Group 3 that you will agree to cure. These cases will remain on Defendants' Deficiency Lists and Defendants will move to dismiss those cases that have not been cured prior to the filing of the next Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Comply with PTO 14.*

We will notify the Court.

Thank you,
Ted

Ted D. Hartman

Blackwell Burke P.A.

431 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500

Minneapolis, MN 55415

Direct (612) 343-3234

Fax (612) 343-3205

Licensed to practice in Minnesota, Illinois, and Nebraska.

This message and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or are not authorized to receive for the recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you.

From: Dae Yeol Lee <dlee@bernlieb.com>

Sent: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 4:28 PM

To: Ted Hartman <thartman@blackwellburke.com>; Ben Hulse <bhulse@blackwellburke.com>

Cc: bgordon@levinlaw.com; David J. Szerlag (david@pritzkerlaw.com) <david@pritzkerlaw.com>;

Daniel C. Burke <dburke@bernlieb.com>

Subject: Re: MTD (PTO 14)

Hi Ted/Ben –

We served PFS deficiency responses for all cases listed in your MTD, which was filed on 8/2. These include the following:

1. Gorbett (17-cv-03252)
2. Parker (17-cv-03573)
3. Rude (17-cv-04009)
4. Ingram (17-cv-04470)
5. Henderson (17-cv-04517)
6. Pine (17-cv-04777)
7. Brown (17-cv-04778)

8. Potter (17-cv-04881)
9. McEvoy (17-cv-04885)
10. Thornton (17-cv-04889)
11. Edwards (17-cv-04891)
12. Hardy (17-cv-05261)
13. Johnston (17-cv-05270)
14. Billings (17-cv-05277)
15. Taplin (17-cv-05370)
16. Bresnock (17-cv-05371)
17. Swales (18-cv-00045)

Given our response deadline is fast approaching, I would appreciate it if you could review our responses and withdraw your motion on these.

Thank you,

Dae



Dae Yeol Lee
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 East 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
Direct: 212-951-2070
Main: (212) 779-1414
Fax: (212) 779-3218
dlee@bernlieb.com
www.bernlieb.com

This electronic message transmission contains ATTORNEY PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please erase all copies of the message and its attachments and notify sender immediately. Thank you.

EXHIBIT C



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

July 10, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel A. Nigh
Levin, Papantoio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.
316 South Baylen St. Suite 400
Pensacola, Florida 32502
dnigh@levinlaw.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Dandrea et al v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:16-cv-02298-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS. This is the final deficiency notice for this matter.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Dandrea
Plaintiffs' First Name	Mario
Case No.	16-cv-02298
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	2, 5, 6, 7, 8. 9. 10
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	1
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	Incomplete
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	Incomplete
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	Incomplete
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section V - 4 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 5 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 6 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dnigh@levinlaw.com



May 7, 2018

Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel C. Burke
Bernstein Liebhard LLP
10 E. 40th Street
New York, NY 10016
dburke@bernlieb.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Parker, Lloyd v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-03573-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Parker
Plaintiffs' First Name	Lloyd
Case No.	0:17-cv-03573
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	7, 14
Section III (Surgery Information)	
Section III - Incomplete Questions	
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	Incomplete
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	Incomplete
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
Section V - 5 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 6 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 7 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dburke@bernlieb.com dlee@bernlieb.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

June 6, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Seth Webb
Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
211 N. Broadway, Suite 1600
St. Louis, MO 63102
sethw@getbc.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Hanks, Julie v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05052-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS. This is the final deficiency notice for this matter.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Hanks
Plaintiffs' First Name	Julie
Case No.	0:17-cv-5052
Section I (Case Information)	Incomplete
Section I - Incomplete Questions	4
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	01, 02, 03, 05, 06, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	01 02 03 04 05
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	Incomplete
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	Incomplete
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	Incomplete
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	Incomplete
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	Incomplete
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	Incomplete
Section V - 4 (Disability Claims)	Incomplete
Section V - 5 (Lawsuits)	Incomplete
Section V - 6 (Bankruptcy)	Incomplete
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	Incomplete
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	Incomplete
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	Incomplete
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	Incomplete
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	Incomplete
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	Incomplete
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	Incomplete
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	Incomplete
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	Incomplete
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	Incomplete
Section IX - 4 (Married)	Incomplete
X.01 - Signed Authorization	Incomplete
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	Incomplete
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Brown &; Crouppen
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	sethw@getbc.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

June 11, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Noah C. Lauricella
GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
800 LaSalle Avenue, Suite 2150
Minneapolis, MN 55402
nlauricella@goldenberglaw.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Morgan, Travis v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05087-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS. This is the final deficiency notice for this matter.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Morgan
Plaintiffs' First Name	Travis B.
Case No.	17-cv-05087
Section I (Case Information)	Incomplete
Section I - Incomplete Questions	01 04
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	01, 02, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	01 03 04 05
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	Incomplete
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	Incomplete
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	Incomplete
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	Incomplete
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	Incomplete
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	Incomplete
Section V - 4 (Disability Claims)	Incomplete
Section V - 5 (Lawsuits)	Incomplete
Section V - 6 (Bankruptcy)	Incomplete
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	Incomplete
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	Incomplete
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	Incomplete
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	Incomplete
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	Incomplete
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	Incomplete
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	Incomplete
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	Incomplete
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	Incomplete
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	Incomplete
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	Incomplete
Section IX - 4 (Married)	Incomplete
X.01 - Signed Authorization	Incomplete
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	Incomplete
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	GoldenbergLaw
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	nlauricella@goldenberglaw.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

June 4, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel A. Nigh
Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.
316 South Baylen St. Suite 400
Pensacola, Florida 32502
dnigh@levinlaw.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Dixon, Michael v. 3M Company Case No.: 0:18-cv-00240-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS. This is the final deficiency notice for this matter.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Dixon
Plaintiffs' First Name	Michael R.
Case No.	0:18-cv-00240
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	
Section II - Incomplete Questions	
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	1
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section V - 4 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 5 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 6 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	Incomplete
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, Proctor P.A.
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dnigh@levinlaw.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

June 12, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Behram V Parekh
Kirtland and Packard LLP
2041 Rosecreans Avenue 3rd Floor, Suite 300
El Segundo, CA 90245
bvp@kirtlandpackard.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Harris, Lola v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:18-cv-00424-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS. This is a final deficiency notice for this matter.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Harris
Plaintiffs' First Name	Lola
Case No.	0:18-cv-00424
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	
Section II - Incomplete Questions	
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	3
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section V - 4 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 5 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 6 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	Incomplete
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	Incomplete
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Kirtland Packard
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	bvp@kirtlandpackard.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

June 6, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Daniel A. Nigh
Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A.
316 South Baylen St. Suite 400
Pensacola, Florida 32502
dnigh@levinlaw.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Tawes, George v. 3M Company Case No.: 0:18-cv-00678-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS. This is a final deficiency notice for this matter.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Plaintiffs' Last Name	Tawes
Plaintiffs' First Name	George
Case No.	0:18-cv-00678
Section I (Case Information)	
Section I - Incomplete Questions	
Section II (Personal Information)	Incomplete
Section II - Incomplete Questions	9
Section III (Surgery Information)	Incomplete
Section III - Incomplete Questions	1
Section IV - 1 (Vital Statistics)	
Section IV - 3 (Healthcare Providers)	
Section IV - 7 (Pharmacies/Drugstores)	
Section IV - 8 (Dental Procedures)	
Section IV - 9 (Tobacco)	
Section IV - 10 (Drug/Alcohol)	
Section V - 4 (Disability Claims)	
Section V - 5 (Lawsuits)	
Section V - 6 (Bankruptcy)	
Section VI - 1 (Physical Injury)	
Section VI - 3 (Emotional Distress)	
Section VI - 6 (Warnings)	
Section VI - 7 (3M/Arizant Communications)	
Section VI - 8 (3M/Arizant Warranty)	
Section VI - 9 (Augustine)	
Section VII - 1 (Lost Past Wages)	
Section VII - 2 (Lost Future Wages)	
Section VIII - 2 (Verbal/Written Statement)	
Section IX - 1 (Consortium Name etc.)	
Section IX - 3 (Residences)	
Section IX - 4 (Married)	
X.01 - Signed Authorization	
X.02.d - Documents - Signed Verification	
I.03.b - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Firm	Levin, Papantonio
I.03.e - Plaintiffs' Counsel's Email	dnigh@levinlaw.com

EXHIBIT D

From: Mary Young

Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 5:39 PM

To: [JoanEricksen Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:JoanEricksen_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov); [Noel Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:Noel_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov); [schultz chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:schultz_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov)

Cc: Genevieve Zimmerman - Meshbesh & Spence (gzimmerman@meshbesh.com) <gzimmerman@meshbesh.com>; Kyle Farrar <kyle@fbtrial.com>; Gabriel Assaad (gassaad@kennedyhodes.com) <gassaad@kennedyhodes.com>; Jan Conlin (JMC@ciresiconlin.com) <JMC@ciresiconlin.com>; Ben Gordon (bgordon@levinlaw.com) <bgordon@levinlaw.com>; Jerry Blackwell <blackwell@blackwellburke.com>; Lyn Pruitt <LPruitt@mwlaw.com>; Ben Hulse <BHulse@blackwellburke.com>; David Szerlag <david@pritzkerlaw.com>; Bridget Ahmann <Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com>

Subject: Defendants' Proposed Agenda and Status Report for July 19 Status Conference (MDL No. 15-2666 (JNE/FLN))

Your Honors,

In accordance with Pretrial Order No. 3, Defendants submit the attached Proposed Agenda and Status Conference Report in preparation for the status conference scheduled for Thursday, July

19. Defendants provided the proposed agenda to Plaintiffs' counsel before noon today in an attempt to reach agreement on a joint submission. We have not yet received any substantive response, and so are now submitting this on behalf of Defendants given today's deadline. Defendants' three lists related to the Plaintiff Fact Sheets, as discussed in Section 2 of the Joint Agenda, are also attached to this email.

Sincerely,

Mary Young

Mary S. Young

Blackwell Burke P.A.

431 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500

Minneapolis, MN 55415

Direct (612) 343-3214

Fax (612) 343-3205

This message and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or are not authorized to receive for the recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets

(Updated July 13, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-04872-JNE-FLN	Grimsley, Daniel v. 3M Company et al.	10/26/2017	1/24/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05581-JNE-FLN	Berzsenyi, Robert v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	3/29/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Law Offices of Charles H Johnson, PA
0:17-cv-05589-JNE-FLN	Bardwell, Doris v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	3/29/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Law Offices of Charles H Johnson, PA
0:18-cv-00257-JNE-FLN	Patrick, Marvin v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00263-JNE-FLN	Robinson, Michael v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00437-JNE-FLN	McCullough, Angie v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	5/15/2018	6/15/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00444-JNE-FLN	Garrison, Steven v. 3M Company et al	2/15/2018	5/16/2018	6/15/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00527-JNE-FLN	Morgan, Evelyn v. 3M Company et al	2/23/2018	5/24/2018	6/15/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00529-JNE-FLN	Logsdon, Wanda v. 3M Company et al	2/23/2018	5/24/2018	6/15/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00609-JNE-FLN	Larrison, Terry v. 3M Company et al	3/2/2018	5/31/2018	6/15/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00617-JNE-FLN	Hayes, Carol v. 3M Company et al	3/2/2018	5/31/2018	6/15/2018	Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00641-JNE-FLN	Rhew, Barbara v. 3M Company et al	3/7/2018	6/5/2018	6/15/2018	Morris Law Firm
0:18-cv-00572-JNE-FLN	Gauthier, Kim v. 3M Company et al	3/1/2018	5/30/2018		Schlichter, Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00705-JNE-FLN	Stoinski, Joyce v. 3M Company et al	3/14/2018	6/12/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00708-JNE-FLN	Fairfax, Betty v. 3M Company et al	3/14/2018	6/12/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:18-cv-00724-JNE-FLN	Burr, Cody v. 3M Company et al	3/15/2018	6/13/2018		Morris Law Firm
0:18-cv-00741-JNE-FLN	Crumiel, Phyllip et al v. 3M Company et al	3/19/2018	6/17/2018		Schlichter, Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00757-JNE-FLN	Homsher, Larry v. 3M Company et al	3/20/2018	6/18/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00758-JNE-FLN	Butler, Frances Eloise v. 3M Company et al	3/20/2018	6/18/2018		Fears Nachawati, PLLC
0:18-cv-00802-JNE-FLN	Brown, Charles v. 3M Company et al	3/23/2018	6/21/2018		The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-00805-JNE-FLN	Archie, Yvonne et al v. 3M Company et al	3/23/2018	6/21/2018		The Webster Law Firm
0:18-cv-00839-JNE-FLN	Pavia, Johnny et al v. 3M Company et al	3/26/2018	6/24/2018		Fears Nachawati, PLLC
0:18-cv-00840-JNE-FLN	Stidham, Robert et al v. 3M Company et al	3/26/2018	6/24/2018		Fears Nachawati, PLLC
0:18-cv-00842-JNE-FLN	Wiggins, Francis v. 3M Company et al	3/26/2018	6/24/2018		Fears Nachawati, PLLC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets

(Updated July 13, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-00855-JNE-FLN	Short, Vincent v. 3M Company et al	3/27/2018	6/25/2018		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00856-JNE-FLN	Negron, Angel v. 3M Company et al	3/27/2018	6/25/2018		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00883-JNE-FLN	McAlister, Mary v. 3M Company et al	3/29/2018	6/27/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00900-JNE-FLN	Ingram, Charles v. 3M Company et al	3/30/2018	6/28/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00904-JNE-FLN	Bauer, Kimberly v. 3M Company et al	3/30/2018	6/28/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00916-JNE-FLN	Foltz, Donald v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	7/1/2018		Hare, Wynn, Newell & Newton, LLP
0:18-cv-00929-JNE-FLN	Tillia, Jeffrey v. 3M Company et al	4/3/2018	7/2/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:18-cv-00930-JNE-FLN	Schram, Stoney v. 3M Company et al	4/3/2018	7/2/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:18-cv-00940-JNE-FLN	Russell, Robin et al v. 3M Company et al	4/5/2018	7/4/2018		Meshbesher & Spence
0:18-cv-00944-JNE-FLN	Kotowski, Joseph et al v. 3M Company et al	4/5/2018	7/4/2018		Meshbesher & Spence
0:18-cv-00950-JNE-FLN	Nix, Joseph v. 3M Company et al	4/6/2018	7/5/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00955-JNE-FLN	Gordon, James v. 3M Company et al	4/6/2018	7/5/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00957-JNE-FLN	Muhammad, Hadiyah M. v. 3M Company et al	4/6/2018	7/5/2018		Schlichter, Bogard & Denton, LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated July 13, 2018)

Case Number	Title	1st Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-04285-JNE-FLN	Nickell, Vernon et al v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	2/1/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-05323-JNE-FLN	Richey, Priscilla v. 3M Company et al	4/16/2018	5/7/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04778-JNE-FLN	Brown, Ina v. 3M Company et al.	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04881-JNE-FLN	Potter, Karen v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04885-JNE-FLN	McEvoy, Mark v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04889-JNE-FLN	Thornton, Mildred v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04891-JNE-FLN	Edwards, Renate v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05123-JNE-FLN	Robinson- Bessicks, Alberta v. 3M Company et al	5/10/2018	5/31/2018	6/15/2018	Kirtland and Packard LLP
0:17-cv-05261-JNE-FLN	Hardy, Alan v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05270-JNE-FLN	Johnston, Todd v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05277-JNE-FLN	Billings, Willard v. 3M Company et al	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05345-JNE-FLN	Lacy, John v. 3M Company et al	5/8/2018	5/29/2018	6/15/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:17-cv-05370-JNE-FLN	Taplin, Janice v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05371-JNE-FLN	Bresnock, Anne v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05385-JNE-FLN	Jackson, Janice v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:17-cv-05466-JNE-FLN	Adams, Laurel v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:17-cv-05472-JNE-FLN	Jones, Denise v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018	6/7/2018	6/15/2018	Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:18-cv-00045-JNE-FLN	Swales, Bertha v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).
Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated July 13, 2018)

0:18-cv-00064-JNE-FLN	Garvin, Leah v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018	6/7/2018	6/15/2018	Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:17-cv-05477-JNE-FLN	Shepard, Andrew v. 3M Company et al	5/24/2018	6/14/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:17-cv-05550-JNE-FLN	Bishop, Alma v. 3M Company et al	6/8/2018	6/29/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00063-JNE-FLN	Morgan, Zachary v. 3M Company et al	5/29/2018	6/19/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:18-cv-00067-JNE-FLN	Carlson, Glenn v. 3M Company et al	5/24/2018	6/14/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:18-cv-00139-JNE-FLN	Stedman, Robert v. 3M Company et al	5/24/2018	6/14/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:18-cv-00166-JNE-FLN	Davis, Thomas v. 3M Company et al	5/29/2018	6/19/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:18-cv-00249-JNE-FLN	Glasscock, Elizabeth v. 3M Company et al	6/11/2018	7/2/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:18-cv-00451-JNE-FLN	Gregg, Cynthia v. 3M Company et al	6/14/2018	7/5/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:18-cv-00460-JNE-FLN	Kilmer, David v. 3M Company et al	6/11/2018	7/2/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:18-cv-00558-JNE-FLN	Kopytek, Joseph v. 3M Company et al	6/11/2018	7/2/2018		Brown & Crouppen, PC
0:18-cv-01041-JNE-FLN	Jiru, Peter v. 3M Company et al	6/11/2018	7/2/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
Case Number	Title	2nd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-03014-JNE-FLN	Jenkins obo Gwendolyn Jensen v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	1/19/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03616-JNE-FLN	Hoerbert, Darlene v. 3M Company et al	2/21/2018	03/14/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:17-cv-03899-JNE-FLN	Pimentel, Carlos v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017	03/21/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-04467-JNE-FLN	Adams, Artis v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	4/23/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04257-JNE-FLN	Coggins, Mark v. 3M Company et al	4/27/2018	05/18/2018	6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:17-cv-04470-JNE-FLN	Ingram, Virginia v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	05/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).
Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices

(Updated July 13, 2018)

0:17-cv-04517-JNE-FLN	Henderson, Stephanie v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	05/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04752-JNE-FLN	Hyer, David v. 3M Company et al	5/4/2018	05/25/2018	6/15/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04777-JNE-FLN	Pine, Randy v. 3M Company et al.	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04779-JNE-FLN	Key, Nancy v. 3M Company et al	4/27/2018	5/18/2018	6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04302-JNE-FLN	Tilley, William v. 3M Company et al	6/6/2018	6/27/2017		The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-04375-JNE-FLN	Baker, David v. 3M Company et al	5/29/2018	6/19/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04512-JNE-FLN	Cunningham, Mary v. 3M Company et al	5/29/2018	6/19/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04857-JNE-FLN	Murphy, Bennie v 3M et al	5/29/2018	6/19/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04877-JNE-FLN	McDonald, Ethel v 3M et al	5/29/2018	6/19/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05358-JNE-FLN	Breedlove, Danny et al v. 3M Company et al	6/11/2018	7/2/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00032-JNE-FLN	DeArman, Lori v. 3M Company et al	6/6/2018	6/27/2017		Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:18-cv-00167-JNE-FLN	Shoaf et al v. 3M Company et al	6/4/2018	6/25/2018		The Miller Firm, LLC
Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-03022-JNE-FLN	Hoyos, Teresa v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	3/7/2018	4/10/2018 5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-03464-JNE-FLN	Witt, Alan v. 3M Company et al	4/5/2018	4/26/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04169-JNE-FLN	Hurley, Jonathan v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	4/23/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-03252-JNE-FLN	Gorbett, Jamie v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/18/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-03573-JNE-FLN	Parker, Lloyd v. 3M Company et al	5/4/2018	5/18/2018	6/15/2018	Andrews Thornton Higgins Razmara, LLP
0:17-cv-03696-JNE-FLN	Hickman, Alan v. 3M Company et al	4/27/2018	5/18/2018	6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03851-JNE-FLN	Colby, Mary v. 3M Company et al	5/3/2018	5/18/2018	6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated July 13, 2018)

0:17-cv-04009-JNE-FLN	Rude, Lynas v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	5/18/2018	6/15/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04695-JNE-FLN	Heatherley, Charlotte v. 3M Company et al	6/6/2018	6/27/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:17-cv-04703-JNE-FLN	Betts, Marilyn v. 3M Company et al	6/11/2018	7/2/2018		Peterson & Associates, P.C.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated July 13, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-03305-JNE-FLN	Brainerd, Jeremiah et al v. 3M Company et al	2/26/2018	4/10/2018 5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04041-JNE-FLN	Gibson, Lisa Karen v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	5/11/2018 6/15/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-02925-JNE-FLN	Zimmerman, Burl v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2018	6/15/2018	The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-03413-JNE-FLN	Williams, Wanda v. 3M Company, et al	4/12/2018	6/15/2018	Sexton & Shelor
0:17-cv-03501-JNE-FLN	Smith, Carla v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018	6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03541-JNE-FLN	Chille, Annette v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2018	6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03554-JNE-FLN	Cyr, Kevin v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2018	6/15/2018	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-03563-JNE-FLN	Opperman, Charlene v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018	6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03718-JNE-FLN	Seymore, Mary v. 3M Company et al	4/27/2018	6/15/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03952-JNE-FLN	Pratt, Debra et al v. 3M Company et al	4/25/2018	6/15/2018	Brent Coon & Associates
0:17-cv-04327-JNE-FLN	Robertson, Jeffrey v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2018	6/15/2018	Randall J. Trost, P.C.
0:17-cv-04328-JNE-FLN	Robertson, Jeffrey v. 3M Company et al	4/24/2018	6/15/2018	Randall J. Trost, P.C.
0:17-cv-04385-JNE-FLN	Erdman, Elmer v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2018	6/15/2018	Brown & Crouppen, PC
0:17-cv-04389-JNE-FLN	Miller, Amanda v. 3M Company et al	5/10/2018	6/15/2018	Jones Ward PLC
0:17-cv-04400-JNE-FLN	Duckworth, Timothy v. 3M Company et al	5/8/2018	6/15/2018	Jones Ward PLC
0:17-cv-04433-JNE-FLN	Greene, James v. 3M Company	4/25/2018	6/15/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:17-cv-04518-JNE-FLN	Robertson, Douglas v. 3M Company et al	4/25/2018	6/15/2018	Meshbesher & Spence, LTD

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated July 13, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-04609-JNE-FLN	Holden, Dianna v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018	6/15/2018	The Webster Law Firm
0:17-cv-04612-JNE-FLN	Giroir, Shirley et al v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018	6/15/2018	The Webster Law Firm
0:17-cv-04631-JNE-FLN	Lewis, Donald v. 3M Company et al	5/2/2018	6/15/2018	The Webster Law Firm
0:17-cv-04639-JNE-FLN	Guess, Carl v. 3M Company et al	5/7/2018	6/15/2018	The Webster Law Firm
0:17-cv-04654-JNE-FLN	Keith, Kody v. 3M Company et al	5/9/2018	6/15/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04677-JNE-FLN	Resendez, Esperanza v. 3M Company et al	5/9/2018	6/15/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04845-JNE-FLN	Murray, Dan v. 3M Company et al	5/17/2018	6/15/2018	Kirtland and Packard LLP
0:16-cv-00787-JNE-FLN	Abrams v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Thering & Associates, PLLC
0:17-cv-03824-JNE-FLN	Henry, Lawrence v. 3M Company et al	5/30/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04333-JNE-FLN	Carney, Robert et al v. 3M Company et al	5/29/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04708-JNE-FLN	Rhone, Tareya v. 3M Company et al	6/4/2018		Hare, Wynn, Newell & Newton
0:17-cv-04729-JNE-FLN	Benford, Walter v. 3M Company et al	5/21/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:17-cv-04800-JNE-FLN	Waters, Christopher v. 3M Company et al	5/29/2018		Peterson & Associates, P.C.
0:17-cv-04859-JNE-FLN	Bradford, Darryl v. 3M Company et al	4/25/2018		The Miller Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-04917-JNE-FLN	Brandon, Katherine v. 3M Company et al	5/24/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:17-cv-04951-JNE-FLN	Wennerstrum, Mary v. 3M Company et al	4/5/2018 06/14/2018		Peterson & Associates, P.C.
0:17-cv-05052-JNE-FLN	Hanks, Julie v. 3M Company et al	6/6/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-05066-JNE-FLN	Isabell, Blonita v. 3M Company et al	6/11/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated July 13, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-05074-JNE-FLN	Riley, Diane v. 3M Company et al	6/13/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:17-cv-05075-JNE-FLN	Ventura, Nicholas v. 3M Company et al	6/13/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:17-cv-05087-JNE-FLN	Morgan, Travis v. 3M Company et al	6/11/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:17-cv-05109-JNE-FLN	Terry, Lola v. 3M Company et al	6/13/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:17-cv-05387-JNE-FLN	Wakefield, Lori v. 3M Company et al	6/13/2018		Meshbeshner & Spence, LTD.
0:17-cv-05427-JNE-FLN	Payne, Margaret v. 3M Company et al	5/31/2018		Hare, Wynn, Newell & Newton
0:18-cv-00022-JNE-FLN	Klante, Randall v. 3M Company et al	6/8/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:18-cv-00240-JNE-FLN	Dixon, Michael v. 3M Company	6/4/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00678-JNE-FLN	Tawes, George v. 3M Company	6/6/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00424-JNE-FLN	Harris, Lola v. 3M Company et al	6/13/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

EXHIBIT E

From: Mary Young

Sent: Friday, August 10, 2018 4:48 PM

To: JoanEricksen_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov; schultz_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov

Cc: Genevieve Zimmerman - Meshbesh & Spence (gzimmerman@meshbesh.com)

[<gzimmerman@meshbesh.com>](mailto:gzimmerman@meshbesh.com); Gabriel Assaad (gassaad@kennedyhodes.com)

[<gassaad@kennedyhodes.com>](mailto:gassaad@kennedyhodes.com); Jan Conlin (JMC@ciresiconlin.com) [<JMC@ciresiconlin.com>](mailto:JMC@ciresiconlin.com); Ben

Gordon (bgordon@levinlaw.com) [<bgordon@levinlaw.com>](mailto:bgordon@levinlaw.com); Jerry Blackwell

[<blackwell@blackwellburke.com>](mailto:blackwell@blackwellburke.com); Lyn Pruitt [<LPruitt@mwlaw.com>](mailto:LPruitt@mwlaw.com); Ben Hulse

[<bhulse@blackwellburke.com>](mailto:bhulse@blackwellburke.com); David Szerlag [<david@pritzkerlaw.com>](mailto:david@pritzkerlaw.com); Bridget Ahmann

[<Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com>](mailto:Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com)

Subject: MDL 2666 - Joint Agenda and Report for Aug. 16 Status Conference

Dear Judge Ericksen and Judge Schultz,

In accordance with Pretrial Order No. 3, attached is the parties' Joint Agenda and Report for the status conference scheduled for Thursday, August 16. Defendants' three lists related to the Plaintiff Fact Sheets, as discussed in Section 2 of the Joint Agenda, are also attached to this email.

Sincerely,

Mary Young

Mary S. Young

Blackwell Burke P.A.

431 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500

Minneapolis, MN 55415

Direct (612) 343-3214

Fax (612) 343-3205

This message and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or are not authorized to receive for the recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets
(Updated August 10, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-00641-JNE-DTS	Rhew, Barbara v. 3M Company, et al.	3/7/2018	6/5/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Morris Law Firm
0:18-cv-00572-JNE-DTS	Gauthier, Kim v. 3M Company, et al.	3/1/2018	5/31/2018	7/13/2018	Schlichter, Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00708-JNE-DTS	Fairfax, Betty v. 3M Company, et al.	3/14/2018	6/12/2018	7/13/2018	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:18-cv-00757-JNE-DTS	Homsher, Larry v. 3M Company, et al.	3/20/2018	6/18/2018	7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00758-JNE-DTS	Butler, Frances Eloise v. 3M Company, et al.	3/20/2018	6/18/2018	7/13/2018	Fears Nachawati, PLLC
0:18-cv-00802-JNE-DTS	Brown, Charles v. 3M Company, et al.	3/23/2018	6/21/2018	7/13/2018	The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-00805-JNE-DTS	Archie, Yvonne et al v. 3M Company, et al.	3/23/2018	6/21/2018	7/13/2018	The Webster Law Firm
0:18-cv-00839-JNE-DTS	Pavia, Johnny et al v. 3M Company, et al.	3/26/2018	6/24/2018	7/13/2018	Fears Nachawati, PLLC
0:18-cv-00840-JNE-DTS	Stidham, Robert et al v. 3M Company, et al.	3/26/2018	6/24/2018	7/13/2018	Fears Nachawati, PLLC
0:18-cv-00842-JNE-DTS	Wiggins, Francis v. 3M Company, et al.	3/26/2018	6/24/2018	7/13/2018	Fears Nachawati, PLLC
0:18-cv-00855-JNE-DTS	Short, Vincent v. 3M Company, et al.	3/27/2018	6/25/2018	7/13/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00856-JNE-DTS	Negron, Angel v. 3M Company, et al.	3/27/2018	6/25/2018	7/13/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00883-JNE-DTS	McAlister, Mary v. 3M Company, et al.	3/29/2018	6/27/2018	7/13/2018	Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:18-cv-00929-JNE-DTS	Tillia, Jeffrey v. 3M Company, et al.	4/3/2018	7/2/2018	7/13/2018	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:18-cv-00955-JNE-DTS	Gordon, James v. 3M Company, et al.	4/6/2018	7/5/2018	7/13/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:16-cv-03859-JNE-DTS	Mahfouz Jr., Joseph v. 3M Company, et al.	11/8/2016	7/2/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:17-cv-00088-JNE-DTS	Malinski v. 3M Company, et al.	1/9/2017	12/1/2017		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-00688-JNE-DTS	Moore, Eric (Matthews, Linda) v. 3M Company, et al.	3/6/2017	7/2/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-01002-JNE-DTS	Phillips, Virgil v. 3M Company, et al.	4/13/2018	7/12/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-01009-JNE-DTS	Woelfel, Rosemarie et al v. 3M Company, et al.	4/25/2018	7/24/2018		Brown Chiari, LLP
0:18-cv-01107-JNE-DTS	Churchill, Sandra et al v. 3M Company, et al.	4/25/2018	7/24/2018		Meshbesher & Spence
0:18-cv-01150-JNE-DTS	Stapleton, Bebe v. 3M Company, et al.	4/27/2018	7/26/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-01161-JNE-DTS	LeBeau, Kimberly v. 3M Company, et al.	4/27/2018	7/26/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-01175-JNE-DTS	Collins, Jean v. 3M Company, et al.	4/30/2018	7/29/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-01190-JNE-DTS	Mitchell, Randall v. 3M Company, et al.	5/1/2018	7/30/2018		Farr, Farr, Emerich, Hackett, Carr & Holmes, P.A.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets
(Updated August 10, 2018)

[illegible]

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated August 10, 2018)

Case Number	Title	1st Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-04778-JNE-DTS	Brown, Ina v. 3M Company, et al.	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04881-JNE-DTS	Potter, Karen v. 3M Company, et al.	7/10/2018	7/31/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04885-JNE-DTS	McEvoy, Mark v. 3M Company, et al.	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05123-JNE-DTS	Robinson-Bessicks, Alberta v. 3M Company, et al.	5/10/2018	5/31/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Kirtland and Packard LLP
0:17-cv-05261-JNE-DTS	Hardy, Alan v. 3M Company, et al.	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05370-JNE-DTS	Taplin, Janice v. 3M Company, et al.	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05371-JNE-DTS	Bresnock, Anne v. 3M Company, et al.	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00045-JNE-DTS	Swales, Bertha v. 3M Company, et al.	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04891-JNE-DTS	Edwards, Renate v. 3M Company, et al.	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05270-JNE-DTS	Johnston, Todd v. 3M Company, et al.	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05277-JNE-DTS	Billings, Willard v. 3M Company, et al.	4/17/2018	5/8/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05477-JNE-DTS	Shepard, Andrew v. 3M Company, et al.	5/24/2018	6/14/2018	7/13/2018	Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:17-cv-05550-JNE-DTS	Bishop, Alma v. 3M Company, et al.	6/8/2018	6/29/2018	7/13/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00063-JNE-DTS	Morgan, Zachary v. 3M Company, et al.	5/29/2018	6/19/2018	7/13/2018	Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:18-cv-00067-JNE-DTS	Carlson, Glenn v. 3M Company, et al.	5/24/2018	6/14/2018	7/13/2018	Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:18-cv-00139-JNE-DTS	Stedman, Robert v. 3M Company, et al.	5/24/2018	6/14/2018	7/13/2018	Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:18-cv-00166-JNE-DTS	Davis, Thomas v. 3M Company, et al.	5/29/2018	6/19/2018	7/13/2018	Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:18-cv-00249-JNE-DTS	Glasscock, Elizabeth v. 3M Company, et al.	6/11/2018	7/2/2018	7/13/2018	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:18-cv-00451-JNE-DTS	Gregg, Cynthia v. 3M Company, et al.	6/14/2018	7/5/2018	7/13/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:18-cv-00460-JNE-DTS	Kilmer, David v. 3M Company, et al.	6/11/2018	7/2/2018	7/13/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05584-JNE-DTS	Tribble, Mayetta v. 3M Company, et al.	6/11/2018	7/2/2018		Lockridge Grindal Nauen PLLP
0:18-cv-00076-JNE-DTS	Kurbis, Melanie v. 3M Company, et al.	7/10/2018	7/31/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00093-JNE-DTS	Ashley, Ruth v. 3M Company, et al.	7/16/2018	8/6/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated August 10, 2018)

0:18-cv-00151-JNE-DTS	Russell, Janice v. 3M Company, et al.	7/16/2018	8/6/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00178-JNE-DTS	Paul, Rodney v. 3M Company, et al.	7/10/2018	7/31/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00205-JNE-DTS	Shulz, Shelley v. 3M Company, et al.	7/16/2018	8/6/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00220-JNE-DTS	Brasher, Michael v. 3M Company, et al.	7/16/2018	8/6/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00274-JNE-DTS	Malone, Brenda v. 3M Company, et al.	7/16/2018	8/6/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00445-JNE-DTS	Haskell, Sandra v. 3M Company, et al.	7/10/2018	7/31/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00575-JNE-DTS	Ballasso, Marilyn v. 3M Company, et al.	6/27/2018	7/18/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:18-cv-00660-JNE-DTS	Doyle, Linda v. 3M Company, et al.	7/11/2018	8/1/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:18-cv-00803-JNE-DTS	Farrell, Thomas v. 3M Company, et al.	7/10/2018	7/31/2018		The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-00804-JNE-DTS	Maehle, Mark v. 3M Company, et al.	7/10/2018	7/31/2018		The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-00814-JNE-FLN	Baldwin, Rose v. 3M Company et al	7/16/2018	8/6/2018		The Miller Firm, LLC
0:18-cv-00815-JNE-DTS	Moody, Kenneth v. 3M Company, et al.	7/10/2018	7/31/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00991-JNE-DTS	Hawkins, Loretta v. 3M Company, et al.	7/17/2018	8/6/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
Case Number	Title	2nd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-04779-JNE-DTS	Key, Nancy v. 3M Company, et al.	4/27/2018	5/18/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04777-JNE-DTS	Pine, Randy v. 3M Company, et al..	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04302-JNE-DTS	Tilley, William v. 3M Company, et al.	6/6/2018	6/27/2018	7/13/2018	The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-04375-JNE-DTS	Baker, David v. 3M Company, et al.	5/29/2018	6/19/2018	7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04512-JNE-DTS	Cunningham, Mary v. 3M Company, et al.	5/29/2018	6/19/2018	7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04517-JNE-DTS	Henderson, Stephanie v. 3M Company, et al.	5/7/2018	5/28/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04752-JNE-DTS	Hyer, David v. 3M Company, et al.	5/4/2018	5/25/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04877-JNE-DTS	McDonald, Ethel v 3M et al	5/29/2018	6/19/2018	7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04857-JNE-DTS	Murphy, Bennie v 3M et al	5/29/2018	6/19/2018	7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00167-JNE-DTS	Shoaf et al v. 3M Company, et al.	6/4/2018	6/25/2018	7/13/2018	The Miller Firm, LLC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated August 10, 2018)

0:17-cv-05199-JNE-DTS	Spry, John et al v. 3M Company, et al.	7/5/2018	7/26/2018		Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05202-JNE-DTS	Reed, Tommy et al v. 3M Company, et al.	7/5/2018	7/26/2018		Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05383-JNE-DTS	Long, Ross v. 3M Company, et al.	7/10/2018	7/31/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:17-cv-05435-JNE-DTS	Echols, Kevin v. 3M Company, et al.	7/5/2018	7/26/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-05546-JNE-DTS	Richardson, Timothy v. 3M Company, et al.	7/10/2018	7/31/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-03696-JNE-DTS	Hickman, Alan v. 3M Company, et al.	4/27/2018	5/18/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04754-JNE-DTS	Weaver, Donna v. 3M Company, et al.	6/19/2018	7/10/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05271-JNE-DTS	Gilmore, Kenneth et al v. 3M Company, et al.	6/18/2018	7/9/2018		The Webster Law Firm

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated August 10, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-03563-JNE-DTS	Opperman, Charlene v. 3M Company, et al.	5/2/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03718-JNE-DTS	Seymore, Mary v. 3M Company, et al.	4/27/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03952-JNE-DTS	Pratt, Debra et al v. 3M Company, et al.	4/25/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Brent Coon & Associates
0:17-cv-04009-JNE-DTS	Rude, Lynas v. 3M Company, et al.	5/28/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04385-JNE-DTS	Erdman, Elmer v. 3M Company, et al.	4/18/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Brown & Crouppen, PC
0:17-cv-04433-JNE-DTS	Greene, James v. 3M Company	4/25/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:17-cv-04654-JNE-DTS	Keith, Kody v. 3M Company, et al.	5/9/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04677-JNE-DTS	Resendez, Esperanza v. 3M Company, et al.	5/9/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04845-JNE-DTS	Murray, Dan v. 3M Company, et al.	5/17/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Kirtland and Packard LLP
0:16-cv-00787-JNE-DTS	Abrams v. 3M Company, et al.	4/19/2017	7/13/2018	Thering & Associates, PLLC
0:16-cv-02298-JNE-DTS	D'andrea, Mario et al v. 3M Company, et al.	4/19/2017	7/13/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:17-cv-03573-JNE-DTS	Parker, Lloyd v. 3M Company, et al.	5/7/2018	6/15/2018 7/13/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-03824-JNE-DTS	Henry, Lawrence v. 3M Company, et al.	5/30/2018	7/13/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04333-JNE-DTS	Carney, Robert et al v. 3M Company, et al.	5/29/2018	7/13/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04800-JNE-DTS	Waters, Christopher v. 3M Company, et al.	5/29/2018	7/13/2018	Peterson & Associates, P.C.
0:17-cv-04859-JNE-DTS	Bradford, Darryl v. 3M Company, et al.	4/25/2018	7/13/2018	The Miller Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-05052-JNE-DTS	Hanks, Julie v. 3M Company, et al.	6/6/2018	7/13/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-05087-JNE-DTS	Morgan, Travis v. 3M Company, et al.	6/11/2018	7/13/2018	GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:18-cv-00022-JNE-DTS	Klante, Randall v. 3M Company, et al.	6/8/2018	7/13/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:18-cv-00032-JNE-DTS	DeArman, Lori v. 3M Company, et al.	6/6/2018	7/13/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:18-cv-00240-JNE-DTS	Dixon, Michael v. 3M Company	6/4/2018	7/13/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated August 10, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-00424-JNE-DTS	Harris, Lola v. 3M Company, et al.	6/13/2018	7/13/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:18-cv-00678-JNE-DTS	Tawes, George v. 3M Company	6/6/2018	7/13/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:15-cv-03736-JNE-DTS	Printup (Charlene Smiley) v. 3M Company, et al.	6/28/2017		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-01701-JNE-DTS	Wright, Michael v. 3M Company	6/22/2017		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:17-cv-01703-JNE-DTS	Ussery, Bruce v. 3M Company	6/22/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:17-cv-02426-JNE-DTS	Johnson, Edwards et al v. 3M Company	6/22/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:17-cv-02901-JNE-DTS	Moore, Michael v. 3M Company, et al.	2/14/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03941-JNE-DTS	Martinez, Rodney v. 3M Company, et al.	7/5/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-04169-JNE-DTS	Hurley, Jonathan v. 3M Company, et al.	4/2/2018		The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-04967-JNE-DTS	Ewing, Kelly v. 3M Company	6/22/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:17-cv-05135-JNE-DTS	Carrell, Silas v. 3M Company, et al.	7/2/2018		Kirtland and Packard LLP
0:17-cv-05242-JNE-DTS	Farrenkopf, Barbara v. 3M Company, et al.	6/28/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:17-cv-05327-JNE-DTS	Lykes-Tarver, Tracy v. 3M Company	6/18/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:17-cv-05348-JNE-DTS	Wilmer, Roxanne v. 3M Company, et al.	7/2/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:17-cv-05424-JNE-DTS	Kent, Maude v. 3M Company	7/10/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:17-cv-05426-JNE-DTS	Meyers, Leslie v. 3M Company	7/10/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:17-cv-05541-JNE-DTS	Alessi, Ann Marie v. 3M Company, et al.	6/6/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:17-cv-05593-JNE-DTS	Blaszczak, Mary v. 3M Company, et al.	6/13/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated August 10, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-05594-JNE-DTS	Booras, Richard v. 3M Company, et al.	6/11/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:18-cv-00088-JNE-DTS	Christ, Ralph et al v. 3M Company, et al.	6/25/2018		Murray Law Firm
0:18-cv-00146-JNE-DTS	Goodrum, Vernon v. 3M Company, et al.	7/5/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:18-cv-00231-JNE-DTS	Lambert, Vincent v. 3M Company, et al.	7/10/2018		Swartz & Swartz, P.C.
0:18-cv-00255-JNE-DTS	Zieschang, Roxanne et al v. 3M Company, et al.	6/29/2018		The Webster Law Firm
0:18-cv-00262-JNE-DTS	Brabham, Earnest v. 3M Company, et al.	6/18/2018		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00292-JNE-DTS	Matson, Charles v. 3M Company, et al.	6/29/2018		Meshbeshier & Spence
0:18-cv-00397-JNE-DTS	Knight, Cecil v. 3M Company, et al.	6/4/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:18-cv-00432-JNE-DTS	Falcetta, Edward v. 3M Company	6/8/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00453-JNE-DTS	Johnson-Ryan, Mary v. 3M Company, et al.	6/13/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:18-cv-00512-JNE-DTS	Flynn, Michael v. 3M Company, et al.	6/19/2018		GoldenbergLaw, PLLC
0:18-cv-00562-JNE-DTS	Guzman, Rosemary v. 3M Company, et al.	6/18/2018		Brown & Crouppen, PC
0:18-cv-00593-JNE-DTS	Franklin, Kenneth v. 3M Company	6/19/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00594-JNE-DTS	Roberts, Greta v. 3M Company	7/2/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00595-JNE-DTS	Smith, Daun v. 3M Company	7/5/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00599-JNE-DTS	White, Cynthia v. 3M Company	7/10/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00600-JNE-DTS	Trude, Barbara v. 3M Company	7/3/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00602-JNE-DTS	Lambright, Ottis et al v. 3M Company, et al.	6/27/2018		Murray Law Firm
0:18-cv-00615-JNE-DTS	Warnberg, Ray v. 3M Company, et al.	6/28/2018		Murray Law Firm

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated August 10, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-00628-JNE-DTS	Boyle, Maureen v. 3M Company, et al.	7/2/2018		Langdon and Emison
0:18-cv-00655-JNE-DTS	Marlow, Homer et al v. 3M Company, et al.	6/4/2018		Raizner Slania LLP
0:18-cv-00665-JNE-DTS	Hughes, Wilbert v. 3M Company, et al.	7/5/2018		Bachus & Schanker, LLC
0:18-cv-00667-JNE-DTS	Boucher, Donald and Carol et al v. 3M Company, et al.	7/5/2018		Bachus & Schanker, LLC
0:18-cv-00668-JNE-DTS	Hartfield, Charles and Julie et al v. 3M Company, et al.	7/5/2018		Bachus & Schanker, LLC
0:18-cv-00669-JNE-DTS	Counard-Stowell, Sandra v. 3M Company	7/3/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00670-JNE-DTS	Darwick, Robert v. 3M Company	7/18/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00671-JNE-DTS	McAvoy, Karen v. 3M Company	7/9/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00672-JNE-DTS	Prudhomme, Laura v. 3M Company	7/2/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00673-JNE-DTS	Rudolph, Sheila v. 3M Company	7/10/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00674-JNE-DTS	Welch, Leon v. 3M Company	7/10/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00675-JNE-DTS	Wolf, Joyce v. 3M Company	6/29/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00676-JNE-DTS	Bunk, Susan v. 3M Company	7/5/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00688-JNE-DTS	Conrad, John v. 3M Company	7/11/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00689-JNE-DTS	Alexander, Todd v. 3M Company	7/16/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00690-JNE-DTS	Dupont, Roy v. 3M Company	7/5/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated August 10, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-00691-JNE-DTS	Hauser, Michael v. 3M Company	6/29/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00881-JNE-DTS	Willimon, Brenda v. 3M Company, et al.	7/24/2018		Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:18-cv-00884-JNE-DTS	Bosarge, Judy v. 3M Company	7/10/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-00962-JNE-DTS	Snow, Lorraine v. 3M Company	7/19/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00963-JNE-DTS	Wolfe, Stanley v. 3M Company	7/5/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-00965-JNE-DTS	Branch, Charles v. 3M Company	7/10/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
0:18-cv-01096-JNE-DTS	Phillips, Virginia v. 3M Company	7/16/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01097-JNE-DTS	Ramondo, Joseph v. 3M Company	7/18/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01099-JNE-DTS	Reid, Patricia v. 3M Company	7/18/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01100-JNE-DTS	Richards, Linda v. 3M Company	6/19/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01101-JNE-DTS	Roshell, Doreen v. 3M Company	6/19/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01111-JNE-DTS	Turner, Carrie v. 3M Company	6/19/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01119-JNE-DTS	Scott, Margaret v. 3M Company	7/18/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01120-JNE-DTS	Sherman, Mary v. 3M Company	6/19/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01123-JNE-DTS	Twichell, Ben v. 3M Company	6/19/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated August 10, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Final Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-01125-JNE-DTS	Dixon, Harold et al v. 3M Company	6/19/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01126-JNE-DTS	Watkins, Franscine v. 3M Company	6/19/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01136-JNE-DTS	Boylan, Gerald Jr.v. 3M Company	6/19/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01137-JNE-DTS	Chapman, Joann v. 3M Company	6/11/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01139-JNE-DTS	Clark, Lasonia v. 3M Company	6/8/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01140-JNE-DTS	Berk, Judith v. 3M Company	6/19/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01148-JNE-DTS	Libbey, Letha v. 3M Company	7/2/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01154-JNE-DTS	Lombardo, James v. 3M Company	7/3/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01157-JNE-DTS	Mason, Walter Jr. v. 3M Company	7/3/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01158-JNE-DTS	Owens, Sandra v. 3M Company	7/5/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01170-JNE-DTS	Jasmund, Allen v. 3M Company	6/19/2018		Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, PA
0:18-cv-01345-JNE-DTS	Lane, Tonya v. 3M Company, et al.	7/23/2018		Meshbesh & Spence

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.